

Q: In deriving the non-homogeneous wave equations for scalar potential (V) & vector potential ($\vec{A}$) we used the Lorentz-gauge given by $\nabla \cdot \vec{A} + \mu\epsilon \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = 0$. Obtain the differential equations relating V & $\vec{A}$ to the sources (ρ & $\vec{J}$) under different gauge for which $\nabla \cdot \vec{A} = 0$. This condition is called Coulomb gauge. Comment on the use of Coulomb gauge vs Lorentz gauge. (Assume a simple medium)

sol: Recall that we obtained the non-homogeneous wave equations for $\vec{A}$ & V by considering the following

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} \nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0 &\Rightarrow \textcircled{2} \boxed{\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A}} \\ \textcircled{3} \nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} &\Rightarrow \textcircled{4} \nabla \times \vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = 0 \Rightarrow \textcircled{5} \nabla \times \vec{E} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \times \vec{A} = 0 \Rightarrow \textcircled{8} \\ \textcircled{6} \nabla \times (\vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}) = 0 &\Rightarrow \textcircled{7} \vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} = -\nabla V \Rightarrow \textcircled{8} \boxed{\vec{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}} \end{aligned}$$

* Recall in Ampere's law we have $\nabla \times \vec{H} = \vec{J} + \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t} \Rightarrow \textcircled{11}$

$$\textcircled{9} \nabla \times \frac{\vec{B}}{\mu} = \vec{J} + \frac{\partial \epsilon \vec{E}}{\partial t} \quad \text{for homogeneous medium} \quad \textcircled{10} \mu(\vec{r}) \text{ & } \epsilon(\vec{r})$$

use (2) & (8) in (9) $\Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{12} \nabla \times (\nabla \times \vec{A}) &= \mu \vec{J} + \epsilon \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[-\nabla V - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \right] \Rightarrow \\ \textcircled{13} \nabla(\nabla \cdot \vec{A}) - \nabla^2 \vec{A} &= \mu \vec{J} - \nabla \left(\epsilon \mu \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right) - \epsilon \mu \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} \\ \textcircled{14} -\nabla^2 \vec{A} + \epsilon \mu \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} &= \mu \vec{J} - \nabla \left[\nabla \cdot \vec{A} + \epsilon \mu \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right] \end{aligned}$$

* Now if we had the Lorentz gauge i.e. $\nabla \cdot \vec{A} + \epsilon \mu \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = 0$ (15)
 we would have obtained $-\nabla^2 \vec{A} + \epsilon \mu \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} = \mu \vec{J}$ (16), but here we are
 to use Coulomb gauge $\nabla \cdot \vec{A} = 0$ (17) then (14) $\Rightarrow$ (18)

$$-\nabla^2 \vec{A} + \epsilon \mu \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} = \mu \vec{J} - \epsilon \mu \nabla \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right)$$

* How about the diff. equation for V . To find that Eq. we use
 the Gauss law (19) $\nabla \cdot \epsilon \vec{E} = \rho \Rightarrow \nabla \cdot \vec{E} = \rho / \epsilon$ (20), since $\rho(\vec{r})$

use (8) in (20) $\Rightarrow \nabla \cdot \left[-\nabla V - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \right] = \rho / \epsilon \Rightarrow$ (21)

(22) $-\nabla^2 V - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \vec{A} = \rho / \epsilon$ but from Coulomb's gauge $\nabla \cdot \vec{A} = 0 \Rightarrow$

$$\nabla^2 V = -\rho / \epsilon$$

* We see that by using Coulomb's gauge the equation governing V has
 simplified (as compared to Lorentz gauge) but equation for $\vec{A}$ is
 more complicated & furthermore is no longer decoupled from V as
 it can be seen from Eq (18).

Q: The inhomogeneous wave equation for V & $\vec{A}$ were obtained for simple medium (Linear, isotropic & homogeneous), subject to Lorentz gauge. Here try to obtain the differential equations governing the dynamical behavior of V & $\vec{A}$, when ϵ & μ depend on position, using the Lorentz gauge. Comment on your results.

Sol:

We start with $\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A}}$ & $\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{B} \Rightarrow \nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \times \vec{A} \Rightarrow \nabla \times (\vec{E} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{A}) = 0 \Rightarrow$

$\boxed{\vec{E} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{A} - \nabla V}$

* Eqs (1) & (2) are not changed from previous case.

using Ampere's law

$\nabla \times \vec{H} = \vec{J} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \epsilon \vec{E} \Rightarrow \nabla \times \left[\frac{\vec{B}}{\mu(r)} \right] = \vec{J} + \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{E}$

Recall $\nabla \times (f \vec{A}) = f \nabla \times \vec{A} + \nabla f \times \vec{A}$ then (4) $\Rightarrow$

$\frac{1}{\mu} \nabla \times \vec{B} + \nabla \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \right) \times \vec{B} = \vec{J} + \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{E}$ Use (1) & (2) in (5) $\Rightarrow$
 $\frac{1}{\mu} \nabla \times [\nabla \times \vec{A}] + \nabla \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \right) \times (\nabla \times \vec{A}) = \vec{J} + \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{A} - \nabla V \right] \Rightarrow$
 $\frac{1}{\mu} [\nabla(\nabla \cdot \vec{A}) - \nabla^2 \vec{A}] + \nabla \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \right) \times (\nabla \times \vec{A}) = \vec{J} - \epsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \vec{A} - \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla V \Rightarrow$

$$\textcircled{6} \quad \nabla(\nabla \cdot \vec{A}) - \nabla^2 \vec{A} + \mu \nabla\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \times (\nabla \times \vec{A}) = \mu \vec{J} - \epsilon \mu \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \epsilon \mu \nabla V \quad \neq$$

Since $\epsilon(x)$ & $\mu(x)$

$\textcircled{7}$

We can write the following $\nabla(\psi f) = f \nabla \psi + \psi \nabla f$ then

$$\textcircled{8} \quad \nabla(\epsilon \mu V) = V \nabla(\epsilon \mu) + \epsilon \mu \nabla V \Rightarrow \nabla(\epsilon \mu V) - V \nabla(\epsilon \mu) = \epsilon \mu \nabla V$$

* so we rewrite (6) as

$$\textcircled{9} \quad -\nabla^2 \vec{A} + \mu \nabla\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \times (\nabla \times \vec{A}) = \mu \vec{J} - \epsilon \mu \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} - \nabla(\nabla \cdot \vec{A}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\nabla(\epsilon \mu V) - V \nabla(\epsilon \mu)] \Rightarrow$$

$$\textcircled{10} \quad -\nabla^2 \vec{A} + \mu \nabla\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \times (\nabla \times \vec{A}) = \mu \vec{J} - \epsilon \mu \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} - \nabla \left[\nabla \cdot \vec{A} + \epsilon \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial t} V \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [V \nabla(\epsilon \mu)]$$

$\textcircled{11}$

From Lorenz gauge $\nabla \cdot \vec{A} + \epsilon \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial t} V = 0 \Rightarrow$

$$-\nabla^2 \vec{A} + \mu \nabla\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \times (\nabla \times \vec{A}) = \mu \vec{J} - \epsilon \mu \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} + \nabla(\epsilon \mu) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} V \Rightarrow$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} V \right) \nabla(\epsilon \mu) + \mu \nabla\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \times (\nabla \times \vec{A}) - \mu \vec{J} = \nabla^2 \vec{A} - \epsilon \mu \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2}$$

$\textcircled{12}$

* As equation for V , we use the Gauss law

5

$$\textcircled{13} \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{D} = \rho \Rightarrow \textcircled{14} \quad \nabla \cdot \epsilon \vec{E} = \rho \quad \text{but } \textcircled{15} \quad \nabla \cdot \rho \vec{F} = \nabla \rho \cdot \vec{F} + \rho \nabla \cdot \vec{F}$$

$$\text{then } \textcircled{14} \Rightarrow \textcircled{16} \quad \nabla \epsilon \cdot \vec{E} + \epsilon \nabla \cdot \vec{E} = \rho \quad \text{we } \textcircled{17} \quad \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} - \nabla V \quad \text{in } \textcircled{16} \Rightarrow$$

$$\nabla \epsilon \cdot \left[-\frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} - \nabla V \right] + \epsilon \nabla \cdot \left[-\frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} - \nabla V \right] = \rho \Rightarrow$$

$$-\nabla \epsilon \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} + \nabla \epsilon \cdot \nabla V + \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \vec{A} + \epsilon \nabla^2 V = -\rho$$

$$\text{Divide by } \epsilon \Rightarrow \textcircled{18} \quad \frac{\nabla \epsilon}{\epsilon} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} + \frac{\nabla \epsilon \cdot \nabla V}{\epsilon} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \vec{A} + \nabla^2 V = -\rho/\epsilon$$

$$\text{we Lorentz gauge } \textcircled{19} \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{A} = -\mu \epsilon \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \quad \text{in } \textcircled{18} \Rightarrow$$

$$\frac{\nabla \epsilon}{\epsilon} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} + \frac{\nabla \epsilon \cdot \nabla V}{\epsilon} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[-\mu \epsilon \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right] + \nabla^2 V = -\rho/\epsilon \Rightarrow$$

$$\frac{\nabla \epsilon}{\epsilon} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} + \frac{\nabla \epsilon \cdot \nabla V}{\epsilon} - \mu \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} + \nabla^2 V = -\rho/\epsilon \Rightarrow$$

$$\textcircled{20} \quad \boxed{\nabla^2 V - \mu \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon} - \frac{\nabla \epsilon}{\epsilon} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} - \frac{\nabla \epsilon \cdot \nabla V}{\epsilon}}$$

* The complexity of Eq (12) & (20) for the case of $\epsilon(r)$ & $\mu(r)$ as compared to homogeneous medium $[\epsilon(x), \mu(x)]$ speaks for itself.

Q: Derive the two divergence equations

$\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0$ & $\nabla \cdot \vec{D} = \rho$ from the two curl equations (Faraday's & Ampere's law) & the continuity equation

Sol: Faraday's law $\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \Rightarrow \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \vec{E}) = -\frac{\partial \nabla \cdot \vec{B}}{\partial t}$

but $\text{div}(\text{Curl any vector function}) = 0 \Rightarrow$

$0 = -\frac{\partial \nabla \cdot \vec{B}}{\partial t}$. Since we are concerned with electrodynamics

for which we suppose $\vec{B}$ is a function of time, then the only way (3) is satisfied is for $\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0$

From Ampere's law $\nabla \times \vec{H} = \vec{J} + \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t} \Rightarrow \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \vec{H}) = \nabla \cdot \vec{J} + \nabla \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t}$

but $\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \text{any vector function}) = 0 \Rightarrow$

$0 = \nabla \cdot \vec{J} + \frac{\partial \nabla \cdot \vec{D}}{\partial t}$ from continuity equation $\nabla \cdot \vec{J} = -\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}$ then

$0 = -\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \nabla \cdot \vec{D}}{\partial t} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \nabla \cdot \vec{D}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}$

For (9) to hold for all times we must have

$$\boxed{\nabla \cdot \vec{D} = \rho}$$

Q: obtain the time-dependant & time harmonic wave equations 7 for $\vec{E}$ & $\vec{H}$ in the case of linear, isotropic, homogeneous medium for which there are free charges & conduction current present.

sol: From Maxwell equation (time-dependent)

$$\textcircled{1} \nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \Rightarrow \nabla \times \vec{E} = -\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{H}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \nabla \times \vec{H} = \vec{J} + \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t} \Rightarrow \nabla \times \vec{H} = \sigma \vec{E} + \epsilon \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \nabla \cdot \vec{D} = \rho \Rightarrow \nabla \cdot \vec{E} = \rho / \epsilon$$

$$\textcircled{4} \nabla \cdot \vec{B} = \nabla \cdot \vec{H} = 0$$

$$(1) \Rightarrow \textcircled{5} \nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{E} = -\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \times \vec{H} \Rightarrow \textcircled{6} \nabla (\nabla \cdot \vec{E}) - \nabla^2 \vec{E} = -\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\sigma \vec{E} + \epsilon \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} \right]$$

$$\text{use (3)} \Rightarrow \textcircled{7} \nabla (\rho / \epsilon) - \nabla^2 \vec{E} = -\mu \sigma \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} - \mu \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 \vec{E}}{\partial t^2} \Rightarrow$$

$$\textcircled{8} \boxed{\frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla \rho + \mu \sigma \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} + \mu \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 \vec{E}}{\partial t^2} = \nabla^2 \vec{E}}$$

* For $\vec{H}$ take curl of (2) $\Rightarrow$

$$\textcircled{9} \nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{H} = \nabla \times (\sigma \vec{E}) + \nabla \times \left(\epsilon \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} \right) \Rightarrow$$

$$\nabla (\nabla \cdot \vec{H}) - \nabla^2 \vec{H} = \sigma \nabla \times \vec{E} + \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \times \vec{E}$$

$$0 - \nabla^2 \vec{H} = \sigma \left[-\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{H} \right] + \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[-\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{H} \right] \Rightarrow$$

$$\textcircled{10} -\nabla^2 \vec{H} = -\mu \sigma \frac{\partial \vec{H}}{\partial t} - \epsilon \mu \frac{\partial^2 \vec{H}}{\partial t^2} \Rightarrow$$

(11)

$$\nabla^2 \vec{H} = \mu\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{H} + \epsilon\mu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \vec{H}$$

8

* For time Harmonic fields - $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rightarrow j\omega$ & $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \rightarrow -\omega^2$ then

(8) $\Rightarrow$

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla \rho + j\omega \mu\sigma \vec{E}(r) - \omega^2 \mu\epsilon \vec{E}(r) = \nabla^2 \vec{E}(r)$$

(11) $\Rightarrow$

$$\nabla^2 \vec{H}(r) = j\omega \mu\sigma \vec{H}(r) - \omega^2 \epsilon\mu \vec{H}(r)$$

Q: prove that $V(R,t) = f(t - R/c)$ is a solution of
 diff. equation ^① $\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial R^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} = 0$ 9

Remark: Recall that Eq (1) was obtained in our attempt to find a solution for wave equation for scalar potential $V(R,t) = \frac{U(R,t)}{R}$

Sol: let $t - \frac{R}{c} = y$ then ^② $\frac{\partial y}{\partial R} = -1/c$ & ^③ $\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = 1$ & $V(R,t) = f(t - R/c) = f(y)$

* Using the chain rule we can write

$$\textcircled{5} \quad \frac{\partial V}{\partial R} = \frac{\partial f(y)}{\partial R} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial R} \cdot \frac{\partial f(y)}{\partial y} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial f(y)}{\partial y}$$

Applying the chain rule one more time we have

$$\textcircled{6} \quad \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial R^2} = +\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 f(y)}{\partial y^2}$$

$$\text{Also } \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial f(y)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} \frac{\partial f(y)}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial f(y)}{\partial y} \text{ & also } \textcircled{7}$$

$$\textcircled{8} \quad \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial^2 f(y)}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial^2 f(y)}{\partial y^2}$$

we (6) & (8) in (1) we have

$$+\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 f(y)}{\partial y^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 f(y)}{\partial y^2} = 0, \text{ hence } V(R,t) = f(t - R/c) = f(y) \text{ is a solution to Eq (1)}$$

An electric field confined between two metallic plate 10
(with air between them) is propagating along z-direction
with phase constant β . The instantaneous electric field is
given by ① $\vec{E} = \hat{a}_y 0.1 \sin(10\pi n) \cos(6\pi 10^9 t - \beta z) \text{ [V/m]}$

Find the $\vec{H}$ between the two plate & the value of β .
Express $\vec{H}$ as instantaneous field.

Sol:

We use time harmonic field representation for which

$$\textcircled{2} \vec{E}(r) = \hat{a}_y 0.1 \sin(10\pi n) e^{-j\beta z} = \hat{a}_y E_y$$

[check that multiplying (2) with $e^{j\omega t}$ taking the real part will
produce the equation (1) for $\vec{E}(r, t)$, where $\omega = 6\pi 10^9$]

* From Faraday's law $\textcircled{3} \nabla \times \vec{E} = -j\omega \mu \vec{H} \Rightarrow \textcircled{4} \vec{H} = \frac{j}{\omega \mu_0} \nabla \times \vec{E}$

$\textcircled{5} \nabla \times \vec{E} = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{a}_x & \hat{a}_y & \hat{a}_z \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ 0 & E_y & 0 \end{vmatrix} = -\hat{a}_x \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z} E_y \right] + \hat{a}_z \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} E_y \right]$ No since we are in air.

$\textcircled{6} = -\hat{a}_x \left[(j\beta) 0.1 \sin(10\pi n) e^{-j\beta z} \right] + \hat{a}_z \left[\pi \cos(10\pi n) e^{-j\beta z} \right]$

then $\textcircled{7} \vec{H} = \frac{j}{\omega \mu_0} \nabla \times \vec{E} = \frac{1}{\omega \mu_0} \left\{ -\hat{a}_x 0.1 \beta \sin(10\pi n) e^{-j\beta z} + \hat{a}_z j \pi \cos(10\pi n) e^{-j\beta z} \right\}$

* To find B we try to find $\vec{E}$ from Ampere's law & compare it to Eq (2). Note that $B \neq k_0$.

11

From Ampere's law ⁽⁸⁾ $\nabla \times \vec{H} = j\omega \epsilon \vec{E} \Rightarrow \vec{E} = \frac{1}{j\omega \epsilon_0} \nabla \times \vec{H}$ ⁽⁹⁾

From (7) ⁽⁹⁾ $\vec{H} = H_x \hat{x} + H_z \hat{z}$ then $\epsilon = \epsilon_0$ since the medium between the plate is air

⁽¹⁰⁾ $\nabla \times \vec{H} = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{x} & \hat{y} & \hat{z} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ H_x & 0 & H_z \end{vmatrix} = \hat{x} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y} H_z \right] - \hat{y} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} H_z - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} H_x \right] + \hat{z} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y} H_x \right]$ using (7) we have

⁽¹¹⁾ $\nabla \times \vec{H} = \frac{1}{\omega \mu_0} \left\{ \hat{x}(0) - \hat{y} \left[-j10\pi^2 \sin(10\pi x) e^{-j\beta z} - j\beta 0.1 \sin(10\pi x) e^{-j\beta z} \right] - \hat{z}(0) \right\}$

⁽¹²⁾ $\Rightarrow \nabla \times \vec{H} = \frac{1}{\omega \mu_0} \left\{ \hat{y} (j10\pi^2 + j0.1\beta^2) \sin(10\pi x) e^{-j\beta z} \right\}$

Using (12) in (9) we have

⁽¹³⁾ $\vec{E} = \frac{1}{j\omega \epsilon_0} \frac{j}{\omega \mu_0} \left\{ \hat{y} (10\pi^2 + 0.1\beta^2) \sin(10\pi x) e^{-j\beta z} \right\}$

* Now compare (13) obtained from Ampere's law to what was given in the problem statement, i.e. Eq (2). For (2) & (13) to be the same we must have

⁽¹⁴⁾ $\frac{1}{\omega^2 \mu_0 \epsilon_0} (10\pi^2 + 0.1\beta^2) = 0.1 \Rightarrow \text{or}$

$$(15) \quad (10\pi)^2 + \beta^2 = \omega^2 \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \Rightarrow$$

12

$$(16) \quad \beta = \sqrt{\omega^2 \mu_0 \epsilon_0 - (10\pi)^2} = \sqrt{(6\pi \times 10^9)^2 \times \frac{1}{(3 \times 10^8)^2} - (10\pi)^2} = 54.4 \text{ [rad/s]}$$

$$(17) \quad \text{* Note that } \beta = 54.4 \neq k_0 \text{ where } k_0 = \frac{\omega}{c} = \frac{6\pi \times 10^9}{3 \times 10^8} = 62.83 \text{ [rad/s]}$$

$$\text{* Also note that from the problem statement } \vec{E} = E_y \hat{y} = a \hat{y} 0.1 \sin(10\pi n) \cos(6\pi 10^9 t - \beta z)$$

We could have defined a β along x , i.e. $\beta_x = 10\pi$ &

a β along z , i.e. $\beta_z = \beta$ then condition (15) states—

$$\beta_x^2 + \beta_z^2 = \omega^2 \mu_0 \epsilon_0 = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} = k_0^2$$

* Now that we have $\vec{H}(r)$ [Eq (7)] & value of β [Eq (16)]

Using (7) we can write the instantaneous $\vec{H}$ as—

$$\vec{H}(r,t) = \frac{1}{\omega \mu_0} \left\{ -a \hat{x} 0.1 \times 54.4 \sin(10\pi n) \cos(\omega t - \beta z) + \right. \\ \left. a \hat{z} \pi \cos(10\pi n) \cos(\omega t - \beta z + \pi/2) \right\}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{6\pi \times 10^9 \times 4\pi \times 10^{-7}} \left\{ -a \hat{x} 5.44 \sin(10\pi n) \cos(6\pi 10^9 t - 54.4 z) \right. \\ \left. - a \hat{z} \pi \cos(10\pi n) \sin(6\pi 10^9 t - 54.4 z) \right\}$$

$$= -a \hat{x} 2.3 \times 10^{-4} \sin(10\pi n) \cos(6\pi 10^9 t - 54.4 z)$$

$$- a \hat{z} 1.33 \times 10^{-4} \cos(10\pi n) \sin(6\pi 10^9 t - 54.4 z)$$